The heat capacity of the restricted primitive model electrolyte
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The constant-volume heat capacity, \( C_V(T, \rho) \), of the restricted primitive model (RPM) electrolyte is considered in the vicinity of its critical point. It is demonstrated that, despite claims, recent simulations for finite systems do not convincingly indicate the absence of a divergence in \( C_V(T, \rho) \)—which would point to non-Ising-type criticality. The strong qualitative difference between \( C_V \) for the RPM and for a Lennard-Jones fluid is shown to result from the low critical density of the former. If one considers the theoretically preferable configurational heat-capacity density, \( C_V/V \), the finite-size results for the two systems display qualitatively similar behavior on near-critical isotherms. © 2001 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1359769]

The critical behavior of Coulombic systems continues to be subject to debate. Whereas it is generally accepted that the critical behavior of the gas—liquid transition in simple liquids belongs to the three-dimensional (3D) Ising universality class, the situation in ionic solutions is considerably more obscure. At sufficiently low temperatures, these solutions exhibit separation into two phases with different density, driven primarily by the Coulombic forces between the charged constituents. Experimentally, both classical (as one might guess from the long-range character of the ionic forces) and Ising-type critical behavior (as might be explained by the effects of Debye screening) have been reported: see, e.g., Refs. 1 and 2. Other possible scenarios entail a crossover from classical to Ising-type behavior at considerably smaller reduced temperatures than in simple fluids or even the existence of a different type of criticality.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\)

In view of the significance of electrolytes and ionic systems in many domains, a clear understanding of their critical behavior is of interest. It is, therefore, disconcerting that even for the simplest model thought to capture the salient features of such systems, namely, the restricted primitive model (RPM), the universality class has not yet been established beyond reasonable doubt. The RPM consists of a mixture of hard spheres of uniform diameter \( \sigma \), half of which carry a charge \( +q \) and half a charge \( -q \). Its critical behavior has been analyzed by both analytical and numerical means. Analytically, a fairly satisfactory description of the critical region (except for the nature of the criticality) has been obtained from Debye–Hückel theory supplemented by Bjerrum’s concept of ion pairing and allowance for the solvation of dipolar-ion pairs in the ionic fluid.\(^4\) However, lack of a sufficiently adequate formulation at the mean-field level has hindered the development of a renormalization-group treatment, see, e.g., Ref. 5. Furthermore, simulations have also encountered serious difficulties, not only because of the long-range nature of the interactions, but, in particular, because of the low value of the critical temperature and the resulting presence of many strongly bound ion pairs.\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^9\) The limited statistical accuracy and range in system sizes that have been reliably accessed have hampered detailed numerical analysis.

This note has been inspired by recent work by Valleau and Torrie (VT),\(^10\) who performed numerical simulations of the RPM using a temperature-and-density-scaling Monte Carlo method. Other simulations\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^9\),\(^11\) focused mainly on the coexistence curve below \( T_c \). Experimentally, observations of the coexistence curve as \( T \to T_c^- \) have been revealing of universality class (with \( \beta_{\text{Ising}}=0.326 \) and \( \beta_{\text{classical}}=\frac{1}{2} \)) or of crossover behavior. In simulations, however, finite-size effects preclude the estimation of the coexistence curve close to \( T_c \); Wilding and Bruce\(^12\) have devised a finite-size scaling technique for analyzing the corresponding Monte Carlo data which has led to fairly precise and seemingly rather reliable estimates of the critical temperature, \( T_c \), and to reasonable estimates of the overall ionic critical density, \( \rho_c \). However, in practice, their technique has presupposed Ising-type criticality and has not, therefore, provided any effective criteria for ruling out (or, possibly, revealing) other types of criticality.

By contrast, VT\(^10\) focused on the heat capacity at constant volume, \( C_V(T, \rho) \), in the one-phase region both as a function of density, \( \rho \), near \( T_c \), and on approach to criticality from above. In a classical, or van der Waals-type system, \( C_V \) remains finite as \( T \to T_c^- \) on the critical isochore, \( \rho=\rho_c \), whereas in an Ising-type system, \( C_V(T, \rho_c) \) diverges to infinity, albeit weakly with an exponent \( \alpha=0.109 \). As one passes through \( T_c \) from above in a classical system, \( C_V(T, \rho_c) \) undergoes a positive jump discontinuity and decreases smoothly thereafter: see Fig. 1; an Ising-type fluid exhibits a \( [T-T_c^-]^{-\alpha} \) singularity falling rapidly from infinity as \( T \) decreases. Accordingly, VT argued that an examination of \( C_V(T, \rho) \) for the RPM for \( T \geq T_c \) and, in particular, comparison with simulations of a Lennard-Jones (LJ) model fluid (for which Ising-type or close-to-Ising-type behavior may be
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Lennard-Jones fluid exhibits a clear, system-size-dependent peak in the vicinity of $T_c$. The specific heat, which stands unchallenged to date, either through new simulations or via an analysis of the VT data.

While we acknowledge the potential value of the VT approach, we find, as will be explained, that we cannot accept the validity of their analyses or of the conclusions they draw. Indeed, although Ising and classical behavior are essentially different in the thermodynamic limit, they are far more difficult to distinguish in the small systems that are accessible to numerical simulations. Specifically, VT studied the heat capacity (i) along the estimated critical isochore (for $T>T_c$) and (ii) along the anticipated critical isotherm, for a wide range of densities. In the first case, as mentioned, they found no signs of a divergence in $C_V(T,\rho_c)$. In the second case, no (finite-size rounded) peak was seen near the critical density. It is this latter observation that VT advance as strong evidence against Ising-type critical behavior in the restricted primitive model, since, as they illustrated, $C_V(T_c,\rho)$ in a Lennard-Jones fluid exhibits a clear, system-size-dependent peak in the vicinity of $\rho=\rho_c$. Here, we reconsider this evidence, which stands unchallenged to date, either through new simulations or via a reanalysis of the VT data.

Consider, first, the heat capacity along the critical isochore. VT observe that $C_V(T,\rho_c)$ increases almost linearly upon approach to $T_c$ from above, with no evidence of a divergence. They do, however, remark that this might be due to the fact that all their observed temperatures lay within the regime of finite-size rounding, where the correlation length is restricted by the system size. We feel, rather, that it is the constraint $t=(T-T_c)/T_c>0$ that might lead to premature conclusions. In Fig. 1 we show the specific heat at constant volume for an infinite-range, van der Waals or mean-field lattice gas (in which all particles interact equally) for a number of system sizes. The plots for density $\rho=\rho_c=\frac{1}{4}\rho_{\text{max}}$ represent the behavior along the critical isochore, whereas the curves for $\rho=\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\text{max}}$ and $\rho=\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\text{max}}$ (the system being symmetric around $\rho_c$) illustrate the behavior along a noncritical isochore. As expected, the peak heights are lower if $\rho\neq\rho_c$; but the qualitative behavior of the specific heat evidently persists even for relatively large deviations from the critical isochore. (Thus, even moderately large errors in the estimate of $\rho_c$ for the RPM should not affect qualitative conclusions.)

The crucial point, however, is that [despite the absence of a divergence of $C_V(T,\rho_c)$ in the thermodynamic limit] the mean-field plots display pronounced size-dependent maxima for $T<T^*_\text{c}$. Indeed, even though these peak heights must saturate, whereas they diverge for an Ising-type system with short-range interactions, the behavior of small systems is qualitatively very similar in both cases. In particular, the specific heats of finite 3D Ising models and hard-core square-well fluids display maxima below $T_c$. Thus, it may be difficult to distinguish the two types of behavior unless one has a sufficiently large range of system sizes to allow extrapolation of the peak height and position. Certainly, the linear increase of $C_V(T,\rho)$ for $T\to T_c+$ for a given system size, as VT observed for the RPM with $\rho=\rho_c$, would seem to convey little information regarding the nature of the critical behavior. This is basically a consequence of the fact that for finite 3D systems the specific-heat maxima seem invariably to occur below the true, limiting critical temperature.

In order to illustrate this point more concretely, we have carried out high-resolution Monte Carlo simulations of a discretized version of the RPM. This model differs from the continuum RPM only in that the positions of the ions are restricted to lattice sites: the degree of discretization is determined by the ratio, $\xi$, of the ion diameter $\sigma$ to the lattice spacing $a$. The continuum limit is recovered by taking $\xi\to\infty$. It has been shown that already for the small discretization parameters $\xi=3$, 4, and 5, this model exhibits a liquid–vapor transition like the continuum RPM, with a coexistence curve that approaches that of the continuum model very closely. We have focused on $\xi=5$, and carried out histogram-reweighted grand-canonical simulations for simple cubic lattices of sizes up to $L=10\sigma$, which corresponds to $(\xi L/\sigma)^3=125,000$ possible ion positions. Periodic boundary conditions were employed.

The strong ion pairing at low temperatures makes grand-canonical simulations especially time consuming. However, we view canonical simulations as inherently dangerous owing to the important role of density fluctuations in the vicinity of the critical point. (See also further comments in the following). A detailed study of these and related data is in progress, but preliminary examination suggests a reduced critical temperature $T^*_c=0.051$ and a critical density $\rho^*_c=0.068$. See Note added in proof. (See, e.g., Refs. 1, 2, and 4–7 for the standard definitions of reduced units for the RPM). Our estimates agree with the suggestion of Ref. 16 that the RPM with $\xi=5$ has a $T^*_c$ slightly higher than that of the continuum RPM ($T^*_c=0.049$). Figure 2 shows the specific heat of this model for $\rho\approx\rho_c$ over a relatively wide
temperature range around \( T_c \). As in Fig. 1, system-size dependent maxima are indeed observed at temperatures that clearly approach \( T_c \) from below. Their variation with \( L \) suggests quite strongly that Ising-type behavior cannot be ruled out.

On the other hand, VT’s results for the density dependence of \( C_V \) at \( T = T_c \), present, at first sight, a more challenging puzzle. Indeed, their data appeared to reveal a remarkable difference between the RPM and a Lennard-Jones fluid. For the former, \( C_V/\overline{Nk_B} \) decreased monotonically with increasing density, without any evident marked dependence on system size. The corresponding curves VT present for a Lennard-Jones fluid, on the contrary, exhibit a pronounced peak in the vicinity of the critical density \( \rho_c \) that, furthermore, increases with system size.

Why is a peak for the RPM apparently absent? Without doubt, any maximum or divergence related to criticality in the RPM will be rounded and shifted in finite systems, irrespective of the actual universality class. Furthermore, one must be prepared for strong finite-size effects that are likely to be distorted relative to LJ-type model fluids in light of the shape of the RPM coexistence curve, which is highly asymmetric. 1,2,4 This expectation is indeed confirmed by Fig. 3, where the continuous plots show our simulation data for the specific heat of the discretized RPM for six different system sizes at \( T^* = 0.051 \approx T_c^* \). For each system size there is a clear maximum, at a density that appears to approach the critical density from below. Unfortunately, however, the data of VT (shown for their system size \( N = 192 \)) did not extend to sufficiently low densities to cover these peaks. Also noteworthy is that their data actually are quite near ours for the discretized RPM, at a system size between \( L = 5\sigma \) and \( L = 6\sigma \), even though this is considerably smaller than the dimension \( \overline{L_N} = (N/\rho)^{1/3} = 13\sigma \) suggested by the particle number \( N = 192 \). This difference might be due to the \( \xi = 5 \) discretization; but if \( T_c \) really is lower for the RPM,\textsuperscript{10} VT’s data pertain to an isotherm somewhat above \( T_c \). It should also be noted, however, that VT chose to take their data at an apparent finite-size critical temperature. On the other hand, one cannot exclude the possibility that the difference reflects a relative limitation of the canonical simulations performed by VT. The fixed particle number may, in effect, suppress the characteristic density fluctuations, with a consequential relative suppression and enhanced rounding of various maxima in finite systems. This might also explain why VT observe negligible changes in \( C_V/N \) when increasing the number of ions by 50% from 128 to 192. However, the precise nature of the finite-size effects themselves is currently unclear in the framework of the canonical Monte Carlo used by VT.

Now consider the qualitative differences observed by VT between the specific heats of the RPM and the LJ fluid. These differences also prove to be a consequence of the low critical density of the RPM, compared to \( \rho_c \) for simple fluids; they do not reflect any significant possible difference in the nature of the critical behavior of the two systems. To see this, recall that in comparing the configurational heat capacity at constant volume for different fluids, or for a fluid and a lattice model, the more basic quantity for criticality and phase separation is the heat capacity per unit volume (or \( C_V \).

![FIG. 2. The ionic specific heat, \( C_V/\overline{Nk_B} \), of the RPM with discretization parameter \( \xi = 5 \), along \( \rho^* = 0.068 \), close to the estimated critical isochore. Clear peaks rounded by finite size are evident below the estimated critical temperature (vertical dashed line), although the behavior above \( T_c \) conveys significantly less information.](image)

![FIG. 3. The constant-volume specific heat on the estimated critical isotherm of the discretized RPM (for \( \xi = 5 \)), compared with the corresponding VT data (Ref. 10).](image)

![FIG. 4. As in Fig. 3, but now the heat-capacity density is plotted and a linear ‘background term’ \( C_V^0/V^*k_B = 3.5\rho^* \) has been subtracted (while \( V^* = V/\sigma^3 \)). See the text.](image)
density) rather than the specific heat (or heat capacity per particle). In addition to the arguments presented in Ref. 18, namely the greater naturalness of the grand-canonical ensemble and the expectation that spatial fluctuations most directly characterize critical divergences, note that the field-theoretical viewpoint of critical phenomena and the renormalization-group approach bear out the conclusion that the number of degrees of freedom per unit volume plays the most fundamental role.

Thus, in Fig. 4 we have plotted the heat-capacity density, \( C_V/V k_B \), for the RPM as a function of \( \rho \) for \( T^* = 0.051 \); in addition, knowing in very general terms that the universal aspects of the critical behavior of real and model systems invariably involve a smooth, nonuniversal “background” (which may well be negative) we have subtracted a term proportional to \( \rho \) with an amplitude chosen judiciously to generate a display such as might be expected in a truly symmetric, e.g., lattice-gas system. Instead of a monotonically decreasing function, we now obtain a net “energy fluctuation” that displays a clear maximum as a function of \( \rho \), of a height that increases systematically with size. If we replott’s data for the Lennard-Jones fluid in the analogous way, as in Fig. 5, we find similar behavior: Indeed, the subtracted heat-capacity density of the LJ fluid on the critical isotherm exhibits clear finite-size maxima as a function of the density, with a systematic size dependence that, as for the RPM, suggests a monotonic increase (as \( L \) or \( N \rightarrow \infty \)) peaking in the vicinity of the critical density.

In summary, the constant-volume heat capacity is a useful quantity in the study of the critical behavior of the restricted primitive model for ionic fluids. However, as we have demonstrated, care must be exercised before concluding that a maximum or a critical divergence is absent. Along both the critical isochore and the critical isotherm, numerical results for finite systems exhibit clear maxima, contrary to the suggestions of Ref. 10. Furthermore, insofar as one observes an overall qualitative difference between the specific heat for the RPM and a fluid with Lennard-Jones interactions, the effect is primarily due to the large difference in critical densities. It has no relevance to possible differences in critical behavior—for which our current data allow few definitive statements. Conversely, if one considers the heat-capacity density, the two systems exhibit qualitatively rather similar behavior. Whether, ultimately, that reflects the same or distinct critical universality classes remains to be determined on the basis of fluctuations not only of the energy, as observed in the specific heat, but also of fluctuations in density.\(^{15,17}\)

**Note added in proof.** Our refined critical point estimates are \( T_c^* \approx 0.0517 \) and \( \rho_c^* \approx 0.072 \).
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