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1. Simulation details

1.1 DNA bond stiffness

As mentioned in the main text, an additional harmonic bond-angle potential was applied to the DNA to represent its intrinsic stiffness (see also Supplementary Information of Ref. 17). With this bond stiffness, the intrinsic persistence length of the DNA is $6.8\sigma$, as determined from the exponential decay length of the bond-angle correlation function of a neutral, linear polymer with the same bond-angle potential$^{S1}$. As a result, the ratio of the intrinsic persistence length to the contour length was approximately three times larger than in the experiments, which we consider acceptable given the simplifications adopted in this semi-quantitative model.

1.2 Determination of polymer lengths

In experiment, the ratio of the contour length of the PPA chain ($L_{\text{PPA}}$) and the contour length of DNA ($L$) was 0.049. In simulation, we aimed to choose a PPA length that would give a ratio $L_{\text{PPA}}/L$ similar to experiment, while also being long enough to permit variation of the grafting density. However, too long PPA greatly restricts the shape of the plasmid DNA during condensation. Therefore, we chose a PPA length of 6 beads, resulting in $L_{\text{PPA}}/L = 0.075$, close to the experimental value. The choice of PEG length requires additional considerations. In experiment, the plasmid DNA was long compared to PEG. Owing to computational limitations, we set the DNA length to 80 beads. As a result, it was not possible to attain a ratio between the contour length of the PEG chain ($L_{\text{PEG}}$) and the contour length of the DNA similar to experiment. However, we considered this acceptable, since we aimed to elucidate the effect of PEG grafting density and chain length, not to realize a precise mapping of the experimental system. The PEG lengths were chosen such that $L_{\text{PEG}}/L$ and $L_{\text{PEG}}/L_{\text{PPA}}$ exhibited trends similar to the experiments (Table S1).
Table S1. Comparison of length ratios of PPA-g-PEG copolymers used in experiment and simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copolymer</th>
<th>Ratio* $(L_{PEG}/L)$</th>
<th>Ratio $(L_{PEG}/L_{PPA})$</th>
<th>Length of PPA</th>
<th>Length of PEG</th>
<th>Ratio $(L_{PEG}/L)$</th>
<th>Ratio $(L_{PEG}/L_{PPA})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPA$<em>{25k-g}$-PEG$</em>{5k}$</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA$<em>{25k-g}$-PEG$</em>{2k}$</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA$<em>{25k-g}$-PEG$</em>{0.8k}$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assuming that the actual monomer size of PPA is twice that of PEG.

1.3 Equilibration of the simulations

The plasmid DNA chain, the copolymers, and the counterions initially were randomly placed in the simulation box. To accelerate the equilibration process, initially a shifted and truncated LJ interaction with cutoff $2^{1/6}\sigma$ was used as the only pairwise interaction between any two particles. After an equilibration period of $1.6 \times 10^3 \tau$, the proper LJ interactions and all electrostatic interactions were switched on, followed by a second equilibration period of $2.45 \times 10^3 \tau$. Then the simulation ran for a sampling period of $1.44 \times 10^6 \tau$ with a time step of 0.012$\tau$. Fifteen independent runs were performed for each system to obtain accurate averaged properties. The distributions of the asphericity were averaged over the 15 systems, corresponding to 225,000 independent samples per parameter set. As shown in Fig. S1, the structure of the DNA frequently fluctuated between different states.
Fig. S1. Time evolution of the asphericity of the plasmid DNA within a PPA25k-g-PEG5k/DNA micelle during one run, for different grafting densities.

1.4 Radius of gyration tensor

For a set of particles, we define the radius-of-gyration tensor $Q^{S2}$ with elements

$$
Q_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left[ r_{i,\alpha} - r_{j,\alpha} \right] \left[ r_{i,\beta} - r_{j,\beta} \right],
$$

(1)

where $N = 80$ is the number of beads representing the DNA, and $r_i$ represents the Cartesian coordinate of the $i^{th}$ bead, with $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ denoting the Cartesian components.

1.5 Determination of the micelle composition

The micellar nanoparticle was an aggregate of DNA and copolymers bound by
electrostatic interactions. We considered a copolymer as part of the micelle if at least one of its positively charged PPA beads was within a distance $2\sigma$ from a DNA bead. Our results were found to be insensitive to the precise choice of this cutoff.

2. Supplementary experimental results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>PEG MW</th>
<th>PEG grafting density / Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8 KDa</td>
<td>Spheres</td>
<td>Spheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 KDa</td>
<td>Spheres*</td>
<td>Spheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 KDa</td>
<td>Spheres*</td>
<td>Rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 KDa</td>
<td>Spheres*</td>
<td>Rods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b</th>
<th>PPA MW</th>
<th>PEG grafting density / Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 KDa</td>
<td>Spheres</td>
<td>Rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 KDa</td>
<td>Spheres</td>
<td>Long Rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 KDa</td>
<td>Long Rods</td>
<td>Worms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. S2. TEM images of micelles prepared from PPA-g-PEG copolymers (a) with different PEG molecular weight and PEG grafting density (MW of PPA 25 kDa); (b) with different PPA molecular weight and PEG grafting density (MW of PEG 5 kDa).

*At 0% PEG grafting density, the particles are identical.
**Fig. S3.** TEM images of PPA25k-g-PEG2k/DNA micelles incubated with 10% FBS. The PEG grafting densities were 1% (a), 2% (b), and 4% (c) respectively. Scale bars 500 nm. After incubation with 10% FBS, the micelle shapes did not exhibit a significant difference with the non-treated micelles (Figure 4b, 4e, and 4h). The background materials appear to be serum components adsorbed onto the TEM grids.

**Fig. S4.** Transfection efficiency of PPA-g-PEG/DNA micelles with different shapes in HeLa cells (mean ± SD, n > 8).
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